OPEN ACCESS Freely available online

Research Article

Vol. 1, Issue 2, July-December 2026 | ISSN: 3139-6267

Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Digital Health

Ai-Driven Digital Transformation and Performance Efficiency in Accounting Information Systems

David Sunday Araoti*

Department of Research, Policy and AI Governance, Independent Researcher, Ogbomosho, Nigeria

***Correspondence to:** David Sunday Araoti, Department of Research, Policy and AI Governance, Independent Researcher, Ogbomosho, Nigeria, E-mail: davidaraoti@gmail.com

Received: May 22, 2026; **Manuscript No:** JAID-26-9814; **Editor Assigned:** May 26, 2026; **PreQc No:** JAID-26-9814 (PQ); **Reviewed:** June 05, 2025; **Revised:** July 22, 2026; **Manuscript No:** JAID-26-9814 (R); **Published:** August 13, 2026, **DOI:** 10.67238/jaid.2026.v1.18

Citation: Araoti DS (2026). Ai-Driven Digital Transformation and Performance Efficiency in Accounting Information Systems. J. Artif. Intell. Digit. Health. Vol.1 Iss.2, August (2026), pp:131-136.

Copyright: © 2026 David Sunday Araoti. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI)-driven digital transformation on performance efficiency in Accounting Information Systems (AIS) within emerging economies, with Nigeria as the focal context. The study is anchored on the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), Diffusion of Innovation (DOI), and Resource-Based View (RBV), which collectively explain technology adoption behavior, diffusion patterns, and performance outcomes.

A cross-sectional descriptive and explanatory survey design was adopted. Primary data were collected from 300 accounting and finance professionals drawn from banking, manufacturing, telecommunications, and public sector organizations across Lagos, Abuja, Port Harcourt, and Ibadan. Data were obtained through a structured Likert-scale questionnaire and analyzed using SPSS version 27.

The findings reveal that AI-driven digital transformation significantly enhances accounting system performance efficiency, particularly in processing speed ($\beta = 0.47$, $p < .001$), reporting accuracy ($\beta = 0.43$, $p < .001$), and real-time financial decision support ($\beta = 0.45$, $p < .001$). Results further indicate that AI integration improves automation of financial workflows, strengthens data consistency, and enhances system responsiveness.

However, the study identifies key barriers including high implementation costs (86.9%), inadequate technical skills (84.1%), cybersecurity risks (82.3%), and infrastructural limitations (75.6%), which collectively slow full-scale AIS transformation.

The study concludes that AI-driven digital transformation is a significant predictor of accounting information system performance efficiency in emerging economies. Theoretically, the study extends TAM and DOI by demonstrating their relevance in AIS transformation, while RBV explains how AI enhances organizational capability. Practically, the study provides actionable insights for policymakers, system developers, and organizational leaders on optimizing AI integration in accounting systems.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence; Digital Transformation; Accounting Information Systems; Performance Efficiency; Nigeria; TAM; DOI; RBV; Emerging Economies

INTRODUCTION

Background to the Study

The global business environment is undergoing a profound digital transformation, with Artificial Intelligence (AI) emerging as a central driver of organizational change. Accounting Information Systems (AIS), which serve as the technological backbone for financial data processing, reporting, and decision support, are increasingly being reshaped by AI capabilities [1]. Traditional AIS were designed for structured, rule-based transactions with batch processing and periodic reporting. However, the demands of modern business – real-time insights, predictive analytics, and adaptive decision-making – require a fundamental rethinking of AIS architecture and functionality.

AI-driven digital transformation refers to the integration of machine learning, natural language processing, robotic process automation, and intelligent analytics into accounting systems to automate routine tasks, enhance data accuracy, and provide forward-looking insights [2]. Unlike conventional AIS upgrades, AI-driven transformation fundamentally alters how financial data is captured, processed, analyzed, and reported. In emerging economies such as Nigeria, digital transformation in accounting is still nascent but rapidly accelerating. According to the Institute of Chartered Accountants of Nigeria, over 45,000 chartered accountants operate in a digitally evolving landscape, yet fewer than 30% of organizations have fully integrated AI into their AIS [3,4]. This gap between potential and practice underscores the need for empirical investigation into how AI-driven digital transformation affects AIS performance efficiency.

Artificial Intelligence in Accounting Information Systems

AI enhances AIS across multiple dimensions. Machine learning algorithms can analyze historical transaction patterns to detect anomalies, predict cash flow, and automate reconciliations. Natural language processing enables systems to interpret unstructured data such as invoices, contracts, and regulatory filings. Robotic process automation handles repetitive tasks like data entry, journal postings, and report generation [5]. Together, these technologies create an intelligent AIS that is faster, more accurate, and more responsive than traditional systems.

Concept of Digital Transformation in Finance

Digital transformation in finance goes beyond automation; it involves reimagining financial processes, roles, and strategies using digital technologies. AI-driven digital transformation specifically focuses on leveraging cognitive technologies to replace or augment human decision-making in financial operations [6]. Key characteristics include real-time data processing, predictive analytics, continuous auditing, and dynamic reporting dashboards.

Performance Efficiency in Accounting Systems

Performance efficiency in AIS can be measured through several indicators: processing speed (time to complete financial transactions and reports), accuracy (error rates in data entry and calculations), system responsiveness (time to generate queries and reports), automation level (proportion of tasks performed without human intervention), and decision support quality (timeliness and relevance of information for managers). This study operationalizes performance efficiency as a composite of these dimensions.

Problem Statement

Despite growing investment in AI-driven AIS, many organizations in emerging economies struggle to realize performance efficiency gains. Empirical evidence on the relationship between AI-driven digital transformation and AIS performance efficiency is limited, particularly in Sub-Saharan Africa. Organizations face contradictory findings: some studies report significant efficiency improvements, while others highlight failed implementations, integration challenges, and disappointing returns [7,8]. Furthermore, there is no integrated model that simultaneously examines AI adoption, digital transformation maturity, and AIS performance efficiency in the Nigerian context.

Research Gap

This study addresses three specific gaps: (1) the lack of empirical evidence on AI-driven AIS transformation in Nigeria, (2) the absence of an integrated framework linking AI adoption to measurable performance efficiency indicators, and (3) limited understanding of barriers that moderate the transformation-performance relationship in emerging economies.

Research Objectives, Questions and Hypotheses

Objectives of the Study

The primary objective is to examine the impact of AI-driven digital transformation on performance efficiency in Accounting Information Systems. Specific objectives are to:

- Assess the extent of AI integration into AIS across Nigerian organizations.
- Analyze the effect of AI-driven transformation on processing speed and reporting accuracy
- Evaluate the influence of AI on real-time decision support capabilities.
- Identify barriers hindering AI-driven AIS transformation.
- Propose a KPI-based framework for monitoring AIS performance efficiency.

Research Questions

- To what extent has AI-driven digital transformation been integrated into Accounting Information Systems in Nigerian organizations?
- How does AI-driven transformation affect processing speed and accuracy in AIS?
- What is the influence of AI on real-time financial decision support?
- What are the major barriers to AI-driven AIS transformation in emerging economies?

Research Hypotheses

H_1: AI-driven digital transformation positively influences processing speed in Accounting Information Systems.

H_2: AI-driven digital transformation positively influences reporting accuracy in Accounting Information Systems.

H_3: AI-driven digital transformation positively influences real-time financial decision support.

Theoretical and Conceptual Framework

Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)

TAM posits that perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use determine technology adoption [9]. In the context of AI-driven AIS, TAM explains why accounting professionals accept or resist AI tools based on their beliefs about performance benefits and usability.

Diffusion of Innovation (DOI) Theory

DOI explains how AI-driven AIS innovations spread across organizations [10]. Key attributes – relative advantage, compatibility, complexity, trialability, and observability – influence adoption rates. In emerging economies, compatibility with existing systems and complexity of AI tools are particularly critical.

Resource-Based View (RBV)

RBV positions AI-driven AIS as a strategic resource that is valuable (improves efficiency), rare (not all competitors have it), inimitable (difficult to replicate due to unique organizational context), and non-substitutable (no equivalent alternative) [11]. This explains why AI-driven AIS can provide sustainable competitive advantage.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Research Design

A cross-sectional descriptive and explanatory survey design was adopted.

Population of the Study

The population comprised accounting and finance professionals (management accountants, financial accountants, AIS officers, IT auditors) in medium and large organizations across Nigeria. According to ICAN (2023), the relevant population exceeds 45,000.

Sample Size and Sampling Technique

Using Yamane's formula at 95% confidence and 5% margin of error, a sample of 320 was targeted. After data cleaning, 300 valid responses were analyzed (93.8% response rate). Purposive sampling selected AI-active organizations; stratified random sampling ensured representation across banking, manufacturing, telecommunications, and public sectors. Geographical coverage: Lagos, Abuja, Port Harcourt, and Ibadan.

AI-active organizations were identified based on evidence of existing or ongoing use of artificial intelligence-enabled tools within accounting, finance, or enterprise information systems. Inclusion criteria required organizations to have implemented, piloted, or formally adopted AI-related applications such as automated accounting processes, intelligent reporting systems, predictive analytics tools, robotic process automation, or AI-supported decision-support platforms. Organizations without any form of AI integration or planned AI implementation were excluded from the study.

Data Collection Instrument

A structured 5-point Likert questionnaire (1=Strongly Disagree to 5=Strongly Agree) was administered physically and electronically. Sections covered: AI integration extent, processing speed, reporting accuracy, real-time decision support, and barriers.

Validity and Reliability

Content validity was ensured via expert review and pilot testing (N=20). Cronbach's Alpha: AI Integration scale $\alpha = 0.85$; Performance Efficiency scale $\alpha = 0.88$; Overall $\alpha = 0.86$. Composite Reliability (CR) exceeded 0.80; AVE exceeded 0.50 [12].

Ethical Considerations

Ethical approval obtained (UNILAG/REC/2025/042). Informed consent, anonymity, confidentiality, and right to withdraw were guaranteed.

Method of Data Analysis

Descriptive statistics (frequencies, means, SDs) and inferential statistics (Pearson correlation, multiple regression, ANOVA) were performed using SPSS version 27. Regression assumptions (normality, multicollinearity, homoscedasticity) were tested and satisfied.

DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

Demographic Characteristics of Respondents

Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage
Gender	Male	178	59.3%
	Female	122	40.7%
Age	26–45 years	206	68.7%
Experience	5 years	224	74.7%
Sector	Banking	98	32.7%
	Manufacturing	86	28.7%
	Telecom	54	18.0%
	Public	62	20.6%

Table 1: Demographic Profile (N=300)

Descriptive Statistics

Indicator	Mean	SD	% Agree
AI used for automated data entry	4.21	0.68	85.7%
AI used for reconciliations	4.08	0.74	80.3%
AI used for financial reporting	4.15	0.71	83.0%
AI used for anomaly detection	3.96	0.79	76.7%
Overall AI integration	4.10	0.73	81.4%

Table 2: AI Integration in AIS (Mean scores, 1-5 scale)

The descriptive findings presented in Table 2 demonstrate a relatively high level of AI integration across accounting information systems among the sampled organizations. The overall AI integration score (Mean = 4.10, SD = 0.73) indicates that respondents generally perceived AI technologies as substantially embedded within accounting processes.

Automated data entry recorded the highest level of adoption (Mean = 4.21), suggesting that organizations are primarily leveraging AI for routine transaction processing and workflow automation. Financial reporting applications (Mean = 4.15) and reconciliation activities (Mean = 4.08) also showed strong adoption levels, while anomaly detection recorded a comparatively lower but still substantial score (Mean = 3.96). These findings suggest that AI adoption within AIS is progressing beyond basic automation toward broader applications supporting accuracy, monitoring, and financial decision processes.

Indicator	Mean	SD	% Agree
Processing speed improved	4.31	0.64	88.3%
Reporting accuracy improved	4.24	0.67	86.0%
Real-time decision support enhanced	4.19	0.70	84.0%
Automation of workflows increased	4.12	0.73	81.7%
Overall performance efficiency	4.22	0.69	85.0%

Table 3: Performance Efficiency Outcomes

Barrier	% Agree	Rank
High implementation cost	86.9%	1
Inadequate technical skills	84.1%	2
Cybersecurity risks	82.3%	3
Infrastructural limitation	75.6%	4
Resistance to change	71.2%	5

Table 4: Barriers to AI-Driven AIS Transformation

Correlation Analysis

Variable	1	2	3	4
AI Integration	1.00			
Processing Speed	0.68	1.00		
Reporting Accuracy	0.64	0.71	1.00	
Real-time Support	0.66	0.69	0.72	1.00

Table 5: Pearson Correlations p < .001, two-tailed.

Regression Analysis

Dependent	Variable	β	SE	t	p	R^2	f
ProcessingSpeed	0.47	0.048	9.79	<.001	0.221	0.28	medium
Reporting Accuracy	0.43	0.049	8.77	<.001	0.185	0.23	medium
Real-time Decision Support	0.45	0.048	9.38	<.001	0.203	0.25	medium

Table 6: Multiple Regression Results (Standardized β)

Note: All models significant at p < .001. Effect sizes (f^2) based on Cohen: 0.02 small, 0.15 medium, 0.35 large [14].

Hypotheses Testing

- H_1 (processing speed): Supported *($\beta = 0.47$, $p < .001$)*
- H_2 (reporting accuracy): Supported *($\beta = 0.43$, $p < .001$)*
- H_3 (real-time decision support): Supported *($\beta = 0.45$, $p < .001$)*

DISCUSSION

Interpretation of Results

The effect of AI-driven digital transformation on real-time financial decision support demonstrates that AIS is shifting from a reporting system to an active decision intelligence platform. This finding indicates that decision latency is significantly reduced, enabling managers to respond to financial events in near real-time rather than periodic cycles.

The findings confirm that AI-driven digital transformation significantly enhances AIS performance efficiency. Processing speed showed the strongest effect (β = 0.47), suggesting that automation of routine tasks (data entry, reconciliations) yields

immediate efficiency gains. Reporting accuracy (β = 0.43) and real-time decision support (β = 0.45) also demonstrate substantial improvements. The R^2 values (18.5–22.1%) indicate that AI integration explains a meaningful portion of variance in AIS efficiency.

The high barrier rates – cost (86.9%), skills (84.1%), cybersecurity (82.3%) – align with emerging economy challenges identified by Okafor but are more pronounced than in South Africa [13,14,15].

Comparison with Existing Studies

These results are consistent with global studies but show stronger processing speed gains (88.3% agreement vs. ~70% in developed economies), likely due to leapfrog effects from weaker legacy systems [5,7].

Theoretical Implications

The study extends TAM by showing that perceived usefulness (efficiency gains) strongly drives AI adoption in AIS. DOI's relative advantage attribute is confirmed by the performance improvements. RBV is supported: AI-driven AIS functions as a VRIN resource that enhances organizational capability.

Practical Implications

Overall, the empirical results provide full statistical support for all three hypotheses and confirm that AI-driven digital transformation significantly enhances processing speed, reporting accuracy, and real-time decision support in Accounting Information Systems.

Organizations should prioritize AI integration in high-volume, rule-based processes first (reconciliations, data entry) to demonstrate quick wins. Investment in skills development and cybersecurity must accompany technology deployment.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusion

Overall, the empirical results provide full statistical support for all three hypotheses and confirm that AI-driven digital transformation significantly enhances processing speed, reporting accuracy, and real-time decision support in Accounting Information Systems.

AI-driven digital transformation significantly improves performance efficiency in Accounting Information Systems, particularly in processing speed, reporting accuracy, and real-time decision support. However, high costs, skills gaps, and cybersecurity risks remain binding constraints. The study contributes theoretical extensions of TAM, DOI, and RBV to the AIS context in emerging economies.

Policy Recommendations

Stakeholder	Action	Timeline	Expected Outcome	Actions
FRCN / ICAN	Develop AIS AI integration standards	12 months	Standardized guidelines	Establish AI accounting competency standards and professional training programmes
Government	Tax incentives for AI AIS adoption	24 months	30% increase in SME adoption	Develop AI adoption incentives, digital infrastructure investment programs, and regulatory guidance for responsible AI use.
NITDA/Technology Regulators	National cybersecurity framework for AIS	18 months	Reduced data breach risks	Strengthen cybersecurity frameworks and data governance guidelines for AI-enabled accounting systems.

Managerial Recommendations

- Stakeholder Action Timeline Expected Outcom
- CFO / CIO Pilot AI in reconciliations → expand to full AIS 12 months 50% processing time reductio
- HR Mandatory AI upskilling for finance staff 6 months 80% staff AI-competen
- IT Security Quarterly AIS vulnerability assessments Ongoing <1% security incidents

KPI-Based Implementation Framework

The KPI framework is derived directly from the empirical findings of this study and is intended to operationalize the statistically significant relationships observed between AI integration and AIS performance outcomes.

KPI	Target	Measurement	Frequency
Automation	Rate	≥60%	Quarterly
Processing Time	Reduction	≥50%	Monthly
Reporting	Accuracy	≥98%	Monthly

Real-time Reporting	Availability	≥90% of reports	Quarterly
User	Adoption Rate	≥80%	Quarterly
Training	Completion	100%	Per rollout
Cost Efficiency	Gain	≥20%	Annually
Cybersecurity	Incidents	0 critical	Monthly

Implementation Note: KPIs should be reviewed quarterly by finance leadership and IT steering committees

LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH

Although the study provides strong empirical evidence of associations between AI-driven transformation and AIS performance efficiency, causal inferences cannot be established due to the cross-sectional research design.

The use of self-reported responses may introduce perception bias, as respondents may overestimate the effectiveness or extent of AI implementation within their organizations. Additionally, the selection of AI-active organizations may limit variability in adoption levels and potentially produce higher estimates of AI-related benefits compared with organizations at earlier stages of digital transformation. Future studies should incorporate objective performance indicators, system usage records, and broader organizational samples to improve generalizability.

Limitations

- Cross-sectional design: associations, not causation.
- Self-reported data: potential bias.
- Nigerian-only sample: limits generalizability.
- AI-active firms only: may overstate adoption.

Future Research

- Longitudinal studies tracking AIS efficiency over time. Although the study identifies significant associations between AI-driven digital transformation and AIS performance efficiency, the cross-sectional design limits the ability to establish causal relationships. Potential concerns regarding reverse causality and omitted variable effects were addressed by grounding the research model in established theoretical perspectives (TAM, DOI, and RBV), applying theoretically justified variables, and conducting diagnostic tests to ensure statistical reliability. Nevertheless, future longitudinal studies are recommended to examine causal pathways and incorporate additional organizational factors such as digital capability, leadership support, and organizational readiness.
- Comparative studies across multiple African countries.
- Qualitative case studies of successful AI-AIS implementations.
- Development of AI-AIS maturity models.
- Investigation of AI-audit integration effects.

REFERENCES

1. Bhimani A, Willcocks L. Digitisation,'Big Data'and the transformation of accounting information. Accounting and business research. 2014;44(4):469-90.
2. Kokina J, Davenport TH. The emergence of artificial intelligence: How automation is changing auditing. Journal of emerging technologies in accounting. 2017;14(1):115-22.
3. Institute of Chartered Accountants of Nigeria. (2023). Annual report and membership statistics. ICAN.
4. Financial Reporting Council of Nigeria. (2024). Annual digital adoption report. FRCN.
5. Moll J, Yigitbasioglu O. The role of internet-related technologies in shaping the work of accountants: New directions for accounting research. The British accounting review. 2019;51(6):100833.
6. PricewaterhouseCoopers. (2024). AI Jobs Barometer 2024.
7. Appelbaum D, Kogan A, Vasarhelyi M, Yan Z. Impact of business analytics and enterprise systems on managerial accounting. International journal of accounting information systems. 2017;25:29-44.
8. Ghasemi M, Shafeiepour V, Aslani M, Barvayeh E. The impact of Information Technology (IT) on modern accounting systems. Procedia-social and behavioral sciences. 2011;28:112-6.
9. Davis FD. Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. MIS quarterly. 1989;13(3): 319-40.
10. Rogers E. Diffusion of Innovations 5th.
11. Barney J. Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. Journal of management. 1991;17(1):99-120.
12. Fornell C, Larcker DF. Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error. Journal of marketing research. 1981;18(1):39-50.
13. Naidoo, V, & Pillay, K. (2023). Strategic management accounting and AI in South Africa. South African Journal of Accounting Research, 37(1), 44–62.
14. Cohen J. Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences. routledge; 2013 May 13.
15. Elegunde AF, Osagie R. Artificial intelligence adoption and employee performance in the Nigerian banking industry. International Journal of Management and Administration. 2020;4(8):189-205.