OPEN ACCESS Freely available online

Review Article

Vol. 2, Issue 2, July-December 2026 | ISSN: 3107-9024

Journal of Psychiatry and Psychological Sciences

The Neuroimmune Hypothesis of Narcissistic Personality Disorder: A Candidate Framework for Diagnosis and Treatment

Marcia Maia[1], Adam David Kain[2*]

[1]*Independent Researcher, Neuroimmunology & Psychiatry, Manaus, Brazil*
[2]*Independent Research, Melbourne, Kulin Nation, Australia*

[*]**Correspondence to:** Adam David Kain, Independent Research, Melbourne, Kulin Nation, Australia, E-mail: adam.d.kain@gmail.com

Received: February 07, 2026; **Manuscript No:** JPPC-26-4884; **Editor Assigned:** June 01, 2026; **PreQc No:** JPPC-26-4884 (PQ); **Reviewed:** June 15, 2026; **Revised:** June 22, 2026; **Manuscript No:** JPPC-26-4884 (R); **Published:** July 16, 2026

Citation: Maia M, Kain AD (2026). The Neuroimmune Hypothesis of Narcissistic Personality Disorder: A Candidate Framework for Diagnosis and Treatment. J. Psychiatr. Psychol. Sci. Vol.2 Iss.2, July (2026), pp:146-153.

ABSTRACT

Background

Narcissistic personality disorder (NPD) remains one of the most treatment-resistant and conceptually challenging conditions in psychiatry. Current diagnostic and therapeutic models rooted largely in psychodynamic and descriptive frameworks have demonstrated limited efficacy, particularly in addressing the disorder's cross-cultural consistency, longitudinal stability, and poor engagement with conventional psychotherapies.

Aims

This paper synthesizes emerging evidence on neuroinflammation and immune-brain signaling with established phenomenological accounts of NPD, to propose a novel, testable neuroimmune hypothesis of the disorder's underlying pathophysiology.

Hypothesis

We propose that NPD may, in part, represent a neuroinflammatory condition characterized by chronic, low-grade inflammation affecting neural circuits that regulate self-awareness, empathy, threat detection, and interpersonal functioning. Specifically, we hypothesize that proinflammatory signaling disrupts the salience network and default mode network via cytokine-mediated effects on the anterior insula, anterior cingulate cortex, and prefrontal regions contributing to the characteristic distortions in self-appraisal, empathy deficits, and hyper-reactivity to social threat observed in NPD. The cross-cultural consistency of narcissistic phenomena, documented across multiple clinical literatures, may reflect the physiological consistency of inflammatory pathways rather than purely psychological or cultural mechanisms.

Conclusions

This hypothesis offers a candidate explanation for the treatment resistance and clinical stability of NPD via the hypothesized self-reinforcing nature of chronic neuroinflammatory states. If supported by future empirical work, the model would open avenues for novel biological and combined interventions, and could inform refinement of the current DSM-5 diagnostic framework in closer alignment with the NIMH Research Domain Criteria (RDoC). We outline a concrete research agenda to test this hypothesis, including specific biomarker, neuroimaging, and longitudinal predictions, alongside an explicit statement of what would falsify it.

Recommendation

As this paper presents no original data, we recommend that it be read as a basis for prioritizingbiomarker and neuroimaging studies in well-characterized NPD cohorts as the first empirical test of the model, prior to any consideration of diagnostic or clinical application.

Keywords: Narcissistic Personality Disorder; Neuroinflammation; Neuroimmune Hypothesis; Immunopsychiatry; Hypothesis and Theory; DSM-5; Rdoc; Empathy Deficits; Malignant Narcissism; Immunomodulation; Personality Disorders

INTRODUCTION

Narcissistic personality disorder (NPD) represents one of the most clinically challenging and theoretically complex mental health conditions, affecting approximately 1–2% of the general population but with disproportionately high representation in clinical settings, accounting for up to 20% of outpatient psychiatric presentations [1]. Historically understood through psychodynamic and cognitive-behavioural frameworks, NPD has resisted significant therapeutic advances, with treatment outcomes remaining modest at best across diverse clinical populations and settings.

The clinical landscape of NPD is characterized by persistent patterns of grandiosity, need for admiration, lack of empathy, and significant interpersonal dysfunction that remain remarkably consistent across cultural contexts and therapeutic settings [1,2]. One of the most clinically detailed accounts of this consistency appears in Vaknin's foundational work, Malignant Self-Love: Narcissism Revisited, which documents the cross-contextual stability of narcissistic supply-seeking behaviours, the intrapsychic dynamics of the false self, and the mechanisms of narcissistic rage and injury with clinical precision. This phenomenological stability, observed across cultures and therapeutic modalities, is the phenomenon this paper seeks a biological account for one that psychodynamic models alone have struggled to supply.

The current diagnostic approach to NPD, as codified in the DSM-5, relies primarily on behavioural and phenomenological criteria, with the Alternative Model for Personality Disorders (AMPD) incorporating dimensions of personality functioning and pathological personality traits [1]. While this framework retains clinical utility, it provides limited insight into the neurobiological underpinnings of the disorder, particularly why it demonstrates such treatment resistance and longitudinal stability. The prevailing psychotherapeutic approaches including psychodynamic therapy, schema therapy, and mentalization-based treatment while demonstrating efficacy for personality disorders generally, show limited success with core NPD pathology; dropout rates exceeding 25% have been documented in the closely related construct of borderline personality disorder, where comparable engagement challenges arise, though dedicated NPD-specific dropout data remain sparse.

Meanwhile, independent lines of research have established the critical role of neuroinflammation in various psychiatric conditions, including major depressive disorder, schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, and neurodegenerative diseases [1,6-10]. The recognition that inflammatory processes can fundamentally alter brain function, emotional regulation, and social behaviour provides a foundation for reconsidering the pathophysiology of personality disorders [5]. This paper synthesizes these disparate domains of research to propose a neuroimmune hypothesis of NPD one that may explain both its clinical stability and resistance to conventional treatment, while opening new avenues for biological investigation and, ultimately, bridging the gap between phenomenological description and aetiological understanding.

REVIEW CONTENT

Current Understanding of NPD: Diagnostic and Therapeutic Challenges

Diagnostic Frameworks and Their Limitations

The evolution of diagnostic criteria for NPD reflects ongoing efforts to capture the complex phenomenology of this disorder. The DSM-5 retains a categorical approach through its Section Current Understanding of NPD: Diagnostic and Therapeutic Challenges criteria, requiring at least five of nine specified features for diagnosis, while Section the Neuroimmune Hypothesis of NPD introduces a hybrid model combining categorical and dimensional approaches [1]. The Alternative Model for Personality Disorders (AMPD) emphasizes impairments in personality functioning across identity, self-direction, empathy, and intimacy, alongside specific pathological personality traits [1]. This dimensional approach better captures the clinical complexity and fluctuations in NPD presentation but remains fundamentally rooted in observable behaviours and self-reported experiences.

Clinical research has increasingly recognized the bifurcated manifestations of NPD, distinguishing between grandiose and vulnerable presentations. Empirical evidence suggests these may represent different expressions of shared underlying pathology rather than distinct subtypes [3]. Grandiose narcissism typically presents with extraversion, dominance, and overt arrogance, while vulnerable narcissism is characterized by hypersensitivity, defensiveness, and shame-based anxiety [3]. Importantly, these presentations often co-occur and fluctuate, particularly at higher levels of pathology [1].

Vaknin's clinical characterization in Malignant Self-Love provides an important complement to the DSM categorical framework, describing NPD through the lens of the false self, narcissistic supply, and a spectrum of somatic versus cerebral presentations [8,11]. This phenomenological architecture, while not biologically grounded in its original formulation, maps with notable consistency onto neuroscientific findings regarding default mode network dysregulation, empathy-circuit impairment, and threat-hyperreactivity suggesting, as a hypothesis rather than a settled finding, that the intrapsychic dynamics identified by Vaknin may reflect identifiable neuroimmune substrates.

A critical limitation of current diagnostic approaches is their reliance on surface manifestations rather than underlying mechanisms. This behavioural focus has constrained therapeutic development and contributed to the stability of NPD pathology across the lifespan, as treatments address psychological manifestations without targeting potential biological substrates. The NIMH Research Domain Criteria initiative represents a necessary corrective, prioritising neurobiological dimensions and transdiagnostic mechanisms [12].

Treatment Resistance and the Stability of Narcissistic Pathology

The therapeutic landscape for NPD remains challenging, with significant dropout rates and modest outcomes. Meta-analytic data from borderline personality disorder the personality

disorder for which the most robust treatment-dropout evidence currently exists indicate dropout rates approaching 25–28%, among the highest of any diagnostic category [7]; comparable NPD-specific dropout data remain limited, though clinical consensus suggests similarly high rates [1]. This treatment resistance is plausibly pronounced in NPD as well, where characteristic interpersonal patterns such as entitlement, exploitation, and empathy deficits directly undermine therapeutic alliance and engagement [1].

Psychodynamic psychotherapy has demonstrated efficacy for personality disorders generally, with recent umbrella reviews confirming its status as an empirically supported treatment [4]. However, specific evidence for NPD remains limited, with outcomes generally less favourable than for other personality disorders. The characterological stability of narcissistic pathology its persistence across time, relationships, and contexts suggests deeply embedded biological underpinnings that may be minimally responsive to psychologically-based interventions alone. As Ronningstam has noted, the internal processing style in pathological narcissism characterized by rigid self-enhancement, selective attention to threat, and impaired emotional awareness may reflect neurobiologically reinforced patterns that resist purely psychological modification [13].

Vaknin has argued that the narcissist's investment in maintaining the false self creates a structural resistance to therapeutic change that transcends motivational explanations [8]. This clinical observation is consistent with though does not itself establish a neuroimmune model in which the biological substrates of narcissistic functioning are maintained by self-reinforcing inflammatory processes, which would, if confirmed, render conventional top-down psychological intervention insufficient as a standalone approach.

The Neuroimmune Hypothesis of NPD

Core Hypothesis: Chronic Neuroinflammation as the Pathophysiological Basis

We propose that narcissistic personality disorder may, in part, represent a neuroinflammatory condition in which chronic, low-grade inflammation alters the structure and function of neural circuits critical for self-awareness, social cognition, and emotional regulation. Specifically, we hypothesize that proinflammatory signaling disrupts the salience network involving anterior insula, anterior cingulate cortex, and related structures contributing to the characteristic distortions in self-appraisal and empathy associated with NPD [3]. We propose that this model may help explain the cross-cultural consistency of narcissistic phenomena documented extensively by Vaknin and corroborated across independent clinical literatures as a function of the physiological consistency of inflammatory pathways, which would be expected to operate independently of psychological or cultural frameworks [1,2,8,16]. We emphasize that Vaknin's account is treated throughout this paper as phenomenological description requiring biological explanation, not as independent evidence for the inflammatory mechanism itself.

The hypothesis is grounded in several converging, though indirect, lines of evidence:

Neuroinflammation in Psychiatric Disorders

Numerous studies have established the role of immune dysregulation in conditions ranging from major depression to schizophrenia, with demonstrated effects on brain structure, neural connectivity, and neurotransmitter systems [1,6,10]. Meta-analyses have confirmed elevated proinflammatory markers across multiple psychiatric diagnoses, suggesting transdiagnostic inflammatory pathways [1,9].

Immune-Brain Communication Pathways

The brain maintains extensive bidirectional communication with the peripheral immune system through multiple pathways, including the brain-gut-microbiome axis and brain-spleen axis, which allow peripheral inflammatory signals to influence central nervous system function [6]. These pathways provide plausible mechanisms through which systemic inflammation could produce the persistent neural alterations hypothesized in NPD, though this link has not yet been tested directly in NPD populations.

Neuroinflammation and Social Cognition

Experimental and clinical evidence demonstrates that inflammatory signaling impairs social cognitive processes, including empathy, mentalization, and emotional recognition domains also compromised in NPD [6,9]. For instance, inflammatory cytokines reduce neural activity in regions associated with theory of mind and emotional processing, though these findings derive from other clinical and experimental populations rather than NPD samples directly.

Early Life Adversity and Immune Programming

Childhood trauma and adverse early environments well-established risk factors in NPD aetiology [8,17] have been shown to programme persistent pro-inflammatory set points via epigenetic mechanisms, creating lifelong susceptibility to inflammatory dysregulation [6,14].

Therapeutic Emphasis	Interpretation, insight, relationship repair	Immunomodulation, neural protection, circuit retraining (proposed)
Aspect	Current Psychodynamic/DSM Model	Proposed Neuroimmune Model
Primary Pathology	Intrapsychic conflicts, structural deficits	Chronic neuroinflammation, neural circuit dysfunction
Explanatory Focus	Psychological mechanisms, developmental experiences	Biological mechanisms, inflammatory pathways
Treatment Resistance	Psychological defences, characterological rigidity	Physiological stability of inflammatory processes
Diagnostic Approach	Behavioural criteria, functional impairment	Biomarkers, neuroimaging, immune parameters (proposed)

Table 1: Comparison of Current and Proposed Diagnostic Paradigms for NPD

Proposed Mechanisms Linking Neuroinflammation to Narcissistic Phenomena

The neuroimmune hypothesis posits candidate mechanisms through which inflammatory processes might generate the clinical phenomena of NPD. These mechanisms are summarized in Table 2 and elaborated below:

Neural Circuit Dysfunction

Inflammation preferentially affects networks critical for self-referential processing (default mode network) and salience detection (salience network) [3,11]. Altered connectivity within and between these networks could plausibly produce the distorted self-appraisal and impaired empathy characteristic of NPD. fMRI studies have already identified functional abnormalities in frontal and prefrontal regions in narcissistic individuals [2,3].

Threat Hyper-reactivity and Narcissistic Rage

Neuroinflammatory states are known to amplify neural responses to threat in other populations; we hypothesise this would manifest as the heightened reactivity to criticism or insult termed narcissistic injury and narcissistic rage by Vaknin observed in NPD. This would, if confirmed, reflect inflammatory priming of amygdala and insula responses to social threat signals [8,9,15].

Cognitive-Affective Processing Deficits

Inflammatory signalling is known to disrupt integration between cognitive and emotional processing streams in other conditions; we propose this may help explain the dissociation between intellectual understanding and emotional experience often observed in narcissistic patients [3,9]. Vaknin's clinical description of the narcissist's inability to genuinely internalise emotional feedback from others is consistent with, though does not independently confirm, this proposed mechanism [8,16,17].

Developmental Immune Programming

Early life adversity a central theme in both Vaknin's clinical account of NPD origins [8] and empirical developmental research programmes persistent inflammatory set points through epigenetic mechanisms, creating lifelong susceptibility to inflammatory dysregulation and associated neural circuit dysfunction [6,18,19].

Microglial Priming

As resident immune cells of the brain, microglia play a central role in ongoing neuroinflammation [6,9]. We hypothesise that chronically primed microglia could sustain the inflammatory environment proposed in NPD, potentially representing a biological mechanism underlying the trait stability and treatment resistance that Vaknin and others have clinically documented [8,19,20].

NPD Feature	Proposed Inflammatory Mechanism	Theoretical Basis
Grandiosity	Altered self-referential processing via default mode network inflammation	fMRI abnormalities in frontal/prefrontal cortex [3,11]
Lack of Empathy	Disrupted social cognition circuits (TPJ, mPFC) via cytokine-mediated effects	Inflammatory cytokines reduce theory-of-mind neural activity [6,9]
Interpersonal Exploitation	Reward system dysfunction with inflated salience of self-benefit	Dopaminergic dysregulation in inflammatory states [16]
Narcissistic Rage	Amygdala hyperreactivity to ego threat with diminished prefrontal regulation	Cytokine priming of threat-detection circuits [9,18]
Identity Disturbance	Inflammatory disruption of midline cortical structures supporting self-coherence	Inflammatory effects on DMN connectivity [3,10]
Splitting & Object Constancy Deficits	Disrupted integration between cognitive/ emotional processing streams	Phenomenology described in Vaknin's IPAM model [8,20]; presented here as a clinical framework to be explained, not as independent evidence for the proposed circuit mechanism

Table 2: Proposed Inflammatory Mechanisms in NPD Phenomena

Therapeutic Implications: From Psychotherapy to Immunomodulation

Novel Pharmacological Approaches — A Cautious Exploration

If the neuroimmune hypothesis is supported by future empirical work, it would open new avenues for biological intervention in NPD. However, any such interventions remain speculative and would require extensive preclinical and clinical safety testing. We emphasise the following as hypothetical candidate classes for exploration, not as clinical recommendations:

Immunomodulatory Agents

Medications with anti-inflammatory properties including minocycline, celecoxib, and monoclonal antibodies targeting specific inflammatory cytokines (e.g., TNF-α, IL-6) could be

evaluated for repurposing in NPD treatment [6,17]. Critical caveats include: blood-brain barrier penetration varies substantially across agents; chronic immunosuppression carries significant infection and metabolic risks; and no NPD-specific pharmacokinetic or safety data currently exist.

Microglial Modulators

Medications that shift microglia from pro-inflammatory (M1) to anti-inflammatory (M2) activation states could potentially address the neural circuit dysfunction hypothesised in NPD [6,9]. Microglial modulation remains a nascent field, and off-target CNS effects are poorly understood.

Gut-Brain Axis Interventions

Given the role of the gut microbiome in regulating systemic inflammation, prebiotics, probiotics, and dietary interventions represent accessible, non-pharmacological approaches to reducing inflammatory load that could be explored [6]. These are comparatively low-risk but still require rigorous placebo-controlled trials.

Combination Therapies

Integrated protocols combining immunomodulation with targeted psychotherapy potentially including mentalization-based approaches adapted to account for neuroinflammatory contributions could address both biological mechanisms and the psychological manifestations catalogued in clinical literature [4,8]. Such combinations would require careful sequencing and monitoring to avoid undermining therapeutic alliance.

Integrated Treatment Model (Hypothetical)

A comprehensive neuroimmune-informed treatment approach, contingent on empirical validation, would incorporate multiple intervention levels:

Biological Interventions

Targeted anti-inflammatory treatments based on individual biomarker profiles, guided by inflammatory marker testing and neuroimaging data but only after validation in controlled trials.

Neuromodulation Approaches

Techniques such as transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) or neurofeedback targeting inflamed neural circuits, as an exploratory adjunct to biological interventions. These remain entirely experimental for NPD and are not supported by any NPD-specific evidence at present.

Adapted Psychotherapy

Psychological interventions modified to account for neuroinflammatory contributions, with adjusted expectations regarding therapeutic pace particularly given evidence that impaired mentalizing capacity is itself a core, slow-to-shift feature of personality pathology [21]. Vaknin's clinical taxonomy offers a useful phenomenological map for therapists navigating narcissistic defences within this biologically-informed model, while remaining a descriptive rather than mechanistic source [8].

Lifestyle Modifications

Evidence-based anti-inflammatory lifestyle interventions addressing diet, exercise, stress reduction, and sleep hygiene, integrated with psychoeducation regarding the proposed biological dimensions of NPD.

DISCUSSION

Diagnostic Transformation and RDoC Alignment

The neuroimmune hypothesis, if validated, could meaningfully inform the diagnosis and classification of NPD, potentially complementing the current DSM-5 framework with biologically-grounded dimensions that align with the NIMH Research Domain Criteria (RDoC) approach [12]. Specifically, the hypothesis maps onto at least three RDoC domains:

Negative Valence Systems

Threat hyper-reactivity (excessive response to social criticism) and frustrative non-reward (narcissistic rage) are proposed as candidates for inflammatory priming of amygdala and insula circuits.

Social Processes

Empathy deficits and impaired theory of mind map onto disrupted TPJ/mPFC function, plausibly mediated by cytokine effects on these regions.

Cognitive Systems

Distorted self-referential processing and identity disturbance align with DMN dysregulation, which neuroinflammatory models in other conditions have linked to altered midline cortical connectivity.

Diagnostic assessment could in time incorporate inflammatory biomarkers, neuroimaging parameters, and immune profiling alongside behavioural observation and self-report. This integrated approach could help identify NPD subtypes based on underlying pathophysiology rather than surface phenomenology alone, enabling more targeted interventions pending the empirical work outlined in Section A Research Agenda: Specific, Testable Predictions.

Importantly, this biological reframing does not render prior phenomenological accounts obsolete. Vaknin's detailed taxonomy of narcissistic subtypes cerebral versus somatic narcissism, overt versus covert presentations, the spectrum of narcissistic supply mechanisms provides a clinical map that this hypothesis attempts to re-read through a neuroimmune lens. The false self, in this model, is proposed as a hypothesis, not a finding to represent a stabilised cognitive-affective architecture potentially maintained by chronic neuroinflammatory states that preclude genuine self-reflection and empathic resonance [8].

The clinical implementation of this model, should it be empirically supported, would require significant shifts in training, assessment, and treatment planning. Mental health professionals would need education in immunopsychiatry concepts and collaboration models with medical colleagues. Assessment protocols would need to expand to include relevant

laboratory testing and potentially neuroimaging. Most importantly, treatment approaches would need to integrate biological and psychological interventions in a coordinated, person-centred fashion.

Limitations and Falsifiability of the Hypothesis

The neuroimmune hypothesis, while heuristically valuable, carries several inherent limitations that must be acknowledged.

Indirect evidence

The hypothesis rests on evidence from other psychiatric disorders and experimental models; no direct evidence of neuroinflammation in NPD populations currently exists. The causal direction whether inflammation drives narcissistic pathology or whether the chronic interpersonal stress associated with NPD drives inflammation remains entirely unspecified.

Alternative explanations: the clinical stability of NPD could equally be explained by purely psychological mechanisms (e.g., entrenched cognitive schemas, interpersonal reinforcement cycles) or by non-inflammatory biological factors (e.g., structural connectivity anomalies, neurodevelopmental variation). The hypothesis as presented does not yet distinguish these alternatives empirically.

A hypothesis is only as strong as its potential to be disproven. We propose that the present hypothesis would be falsified by any of the following:

- Consistent failure to find elevated inflammatory markers (CRP, IL-6, TNF-α) in well-characterised NPD cohorts compared to healthy controls and other personality disorder groups.
- Absence of a significant correlation between inflammatory marker levels and core NPD symptom severity (e.g., empathy deficits, grandiosity scores) in adequately powered samples.
- Failure to demonstrate functional or structural brain changes in predicted regions (DMN, salience network, TPJ/mPFC) that correlate with inflammatory markers.
- Evidence that psychotherapeutic interventions achieve lasting symptom reduction without corresponding changes in inflammatory markers suggesting that inflammation, if present, is epiphenomenal rather than causal.

Even if confirmed, neuroinflammation may prove to be one of several interacting factors genetic, epigenetic, psychological, social rather than a sufficient or necessary cause. The hypothesis is best understood as a complementary framework, not a reductionist replacement.

A Research Agenda: Specific, Testable Predictions

Testing the neuroimmune hypothesis requires a substantial, multi-disciplinary research effort, with concrete a priori predictions attached to each domain.

Biomarker studies

Comprehensive assessment of inflammatory markers (CRP, IL-6, TNF-α, interferon-γ) in well-characterised NPD populations compared to healthy controls and other personality disorder diagnostic groups.

- **Prediction:** NPD cohorts will show significantly elevated serum CRP (≥3 mg/L) and IL-6 relative to controls, with effect sizes (Cohen's d) ≥0.5, after controlling for BMI, smoking, and comorbid depression.

Neuroimaging Investigations

Multimodal imaging studies examining the relationship between inflammatory markers, brain structure, functional connectivity, and clinical features of NPD, with specific attention to the DMN, salience network, and social cognition circuits.

- **Prediction:** inflammatory marker levels (particularly IL-6) will correlate inversely with fMRI BOLD activation in the right TPJ and mPFC during empathy-for-pain tasks ($r \leq 0.35$, $p < 0.01$), and positively with amygdala reactivity to socially threatening faces.

Genetic and Epigenetic Research

Studies examining immune-related genetic vulnerabilities and epigenetic modifications in NPD, focused on genes regulating inflammatory response and early adversity-related methylation patterns.

Clinical trials (proof-of-concept)

Pilot trials of immunomodulatory interventions in NPD populations, with careful attention to target engagement, mechanism of action, and outcome measures spanning both biological and phenomenological domains, with inflammatory markers as primary outcomes and NPD symptom severity and empathy measures as secondary outcomes.

Longitudinal Studies

Research examining the developmental trajectory of inflammatory markers in relation to emerging narcissistic pathology, including high-risk populations with documented early adversity.

- **Prediction:** in a prospective cohort, childhood adversity (measured by the CTQ) at age 10 will predict elevated IL-6 at age 18, which will in turn predict higher NPD trait scores (measured by the PNI) at age 25, with the IL-6 pathway mediating at least 20% of the adversity–NPD association.

Clinical and Ethical Implications

The reconceptualisation of NPD as a neuroimmune disorder, should it be empirically supported, carries significant clinical and ethical implications. It could potentially destigmatise the condition by framing it as a medical rather than purely moral or characterological matter a reframing consistent with trajectories in other psychiatric conditions such as schizophrenia and major depression. At the same time, it raises complex questions about responsibility, agency, and treatment acceptability.

The potential for biological interventions to address the characteristic resistances of NPD the false self's investment in its own maintenance, as described by Vaknin could, if validated, improve treatment engagement and efficacy. However, it also necessitates careful consideration of ethical implementation, including informed consent, appropriate use

of biological interventions, and balanced integration with psychosocial approaches that preserve patient autonomy and relational dignity [8].

Clinicians and researchers should be cautious about reductionist interpretations that wholly biologise a condition with clear developmental, relational, and socio-cultural dimensions. The neuroimmune hypothesis is best understood as a complementary explanatory framework that, if supported, would enrich rather than displace the phenomenological and relational accounts that clinical literature has produced.

CONCLUSION

This paper proposes a neuroimmune hypothesis of narcissistic personality disorder as a candidate explanatory framework for this challenging condition. By proposing that NPD may involve significant neuroinflammatory substrates alongside its established psychological dimensions, this hypothesis offers a candidate explanation for the characteristic treatment resistance, cross-cultural consistency, and clinical stability of narcissistic pathology features documented with particular precision in Vaknin's clinical literature and treated here as phenomenology requiring explanation, not as evidence for the proposed mechanism via the hypothesised physiological consistency of inflammatory processes. If supported by future research, it would open new avenues for biological investigation that could potentially improve outcomes for this population [8].

Substantial research is needed to test this hypothesis; no claim is made here that it is currently supported by direct empirical evidence in NPD populations. The converging, indirect evidence from neuroscience, immunology, and clinical psychiatry nonetheless provides a foundation for further investigation. If confirmed, this model would have the potential to inform the diagnosis, classification, and treatment of NPD, in closer alignment with contemporary understanding of brain-body interactions and the RDoC framework. The therapeutic implications, if validated, could extend beyond NPD to other treatment-resistant personality pathologies; we present this as a direction for future research rather than an established outcome.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

FUNDING

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

ETHICS STATEMENT

This is a theoretical hypothesis and review paper. No human participants, animals, or primary data were involved. Any future empirical studies deriving from this hypothesis would require appropriate ethical approval.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Dr Maia contributed the neuroimmunological framework and clinical synthesis; Kain contributed theoretical integration and manuscript architecture. Both authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Weinberg I, Ronningstam E. Narcissistic personality disorder: Progress in understanding and treatment. Focus. 2022;20(4):368-77.
2. Ash S, Greenwood D, Keenan JP. The neural correlates of narcissism: is there a connection with desire for fame and celebrity worship?. Brain Sciences. 2023;13(10):1499.
3. Jauk E, Kanske P. Can neuroscience help to understand narcissism? A systematic review of an emerging field. Personality neuroscience. 2021.
4. Leichsenring F, Abbass A, Heim N, Keefe JR, Kisely S, Luyten P, et al. The status of psychodynamic psychotherapy as an empirically supported treatment for common mental disorders–an umbrella review based on updated criteria. World Psychiatry. 2023;22(2): 286-304.
5. Nettis MA, Pariante CM. Is there neuroinflammation in depression? Understanding the link between the brain and the peripheral immune system in depression. International review of neurobiology. 2020;152:23-40.
6. Mok SW, Wong VK, Lo HH, Dias IR, Leung EL, Law BY, Liu L. Natural products-based polypharmacological modulation of the peripheral immune system for the treatment of neuropsychiatric disorders. Pharmacology & Therapeutics. 2020;208:107480.
7. Cristea IA, Gentili C, Cotet CD, Palomba D, Barbui C, Cuijpers P. Efficacy of psychotherapies for borderline personality disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Jama psychiatry. 2017 ;74(4): 319-28.
8. Vaknin S. Malignant self love: Narcissism revisited. Narcissus Publishing; 2007.
9. Kölliker-Frers R, Udovin L, Otero-Losada M, Kobiec T, Herrera MI, Palacios J, et al. Neuroinflammation: An integrating overview of reactive-Neuroimmune cell interactions in health and disease. Mediators of inflammation. 2021;2021(1):9999146.
10. De Haan P, Klein HC, 't Hart BA. Autoimmune aspects of neurodegenerative and psychiatric diseases: a template for innovative therapy. Frontiers in Psychiatry. 2017;8:46.
11. Schulze L, Dziobek I, Vater A, Heekeren HR, Bajbouj M, Renneberg B, et al. Gray matter abnormalities in patients with narcissistic personality disorder. Journal of psychiatric research. 2013;47(10):1363-9.
12. Insel T, Cuthbert B, Garvey M, Heinssen R, Pine DS, Quinn K, et al. Research domain criteria (RDoC): toward a new classification framework for research on mental disorders. American Journal of psychiatry. 2010;167(7):748-51.
13. Ronningstam E. Internal processing in patients with pathological narcissism or narcissistic personality disorder: Implications for alliance building and therapeutic strategies. Journal of personality disorders. 2020;34(Supplement):80-103.
14. Danese A, McEwen BS. Adverse childhood experiences, allostasis, allostatic load, and age-related disease. Physiology & behavior. 2012;106(1):29-39.
15. Bhattacharya A, Bhattacharya S. Interplay of the immune system and the brain in depression: a role of inflammatory cytokines. Proc Indian Natl Sci Acad. 2019;85(1):199-208.
16. Miller AH, Raison CL. The role of inflammation in depression: from evolutionary imperative to modern treatment target. Nature reviews immunology. 2016;16(1):22-34.
17. Fond G, Loundou A, Rabu C, Macgregor A, Lancon C, Brittner M, et al. Ketamine administration in depressive disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Psychopharmacology. 2014;231(18): 3663-76.

18. Johnson JG, Cohen P, Kasen S, Skodol AE, Hamagami F, Brook JS. Age-related change in personality disorder trait levels between early adolescence and adulthood: A community-based longitudinal investigation. Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica. 2000;102(4):265-75.
19. Tyrer P, Reed GM, Crawford MJ. Classification, assessment, prevalence, and effect of personality disorder. The Lancet. 2015;385(9969):717-26.
20. Vaknin S. Intrapsychic Activation Model (IPAM). J Psy Neurol. 2023;1(1):27-31.
21. Luyten P, Fonagy P. The neurobiology of mentalizing. Personality Disorders: Theory, Research, and Treatment. 2015;6(4):366.