OPEN ACCESS Freely available online

Mini Review

Vol. 1, Issue 1, January-June 2026

Journal of Plant Science and Biotechnology

Ecology and Biodiversity (EB) Assessment of Project Areas: Data Collection, Quality Assurance, and Interpretation

Susmita Sahoo*

Biological Sciences, GSFC University, Vadodara, India

***Correspondence to:** Susmita Sahoo, Biological Sciences, GSFC University, Vadodara, India, E-mail: drsusmitasahoo@gmail.com

Received: May 29, 2026; **Manuscript No:** JPSB-26-9723; **Editor Assigned:** June 01, 2026; **PreQc No:** JPSB-26-9723(PQ); **Reviewed:** June 03, 2026; **Revised:** June 18, 2026; **Manuscript No:** JPSB-26-9723(R); **Published:** June 30, 2026

Citation: Sahoo S (2026). Ecology and Biodiversity (EB) Assessment of Project Areas: Data Collection, Quality Assurance, and Interpretation. J. Plant Sci. Biotech. Vol.1 Iss.1, June (2026), pp:69-72.

ABSTRACT

Ecological and biodiversity (EB) assessments are critical components of environmental impact assessments (EIA), particularly in project planning and environmental clearance processes. This paper presents a comprehensive methodology for conducting EB studies within and around a project site, with an emphasis on data collection, quality assurance, and data interpretation. Methodologies include field-based sampling, secondary data sourcing, biodiversity indexing, and stakeholder consultations. The role of habitat mapping, endangered species evaluation, and mitigation planning are also addressed. Further, greenbelt development is discussed as an effective ecological management strategy.

Keywords: Ecology; Biodiversity; EIA; Habitat Assessment; Greenbelt Development; Species Indexing; Impact Mitigation; Data Quality; India

INTRODUCTION

Understanding the ecological baseline and biodiversity of a region prior to any developmental activity is essential for sustainable planning and regulatory compliance. This paper discusses the structured process of conducting an Ecology and Biodiversity study as part of an EIA, including the collection of primary and secondary data, validation mechanisms, and data interpretation. It integrates field methodologies, GIS-based mapping, stakeholder engagement, and environmental conservation planning.

A biodiversity assessment is the systematic evaluation of the variety, abundance, and health of life forms within an ecosystem. It is vital for understanding environmental health, predicting future ecological changes, and guiding sustainable development. It ensures human well-being by protecting essential ecosystem.

Ecological monitoring currently faces hurdles with inadequate long-term funding, limited technical capacity, and the difficulty of applying global policy frameworks (like the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework) to on-the-ground projects [1]. Additionally, collecting and synthesizing large, complex datasets across vast, inaccessible areas remains a significant obstacle.

Biodiversity assessments combine traditional field observation with advanced technology to evaluate species variety, habitat health, and ecosystem integrity. Methodologies range from on-the-ground ecological surveys and statistical diversity indices to broad-scale remote sensing and non-invasive genetic sequencing, allowing researchers to accurately monitor and protect natural habitats.

A biodiversity assessment is a systematic evaluation of the variety, abundance, and health of species and ecosystems in a specific area. Its core objective is to quantify ecological value and identify how external factors (such as proposed development, climate change, or human activity) impact natural environments.

Preliminary Coordination and Project Understanding

Stakeholder Discussions

The process begins with consultations with EIA coordinators and relevant domain experts:

- Risk & Hazard Expert – Review of hazardous raw materials via MSDS sheets.
- Land Use Expert – Understanding of local topography, water bodies, and natural habitats.
- Project Authorities – Detailed discussion on products, processes, and materials used.

Data Collection Methodology

Secondary Data Sources

Forest Department records

- Botanical Survey of India (BSI)
- Zoological Survey of India (ZSI)
- Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEFCC)
- IUCN Red List and ENVIS Database
- Peer-reviewed scientific journals, books, and working plans of the concerned forest division

A robust sampling design is the blueprint of a reliable biodiversity assessment [2]. It requires defining clear spatial boundaries, choosing the appropriate selection methods (e.g., random, stratified, or systematic grids), and establishing statistical replication to accurately measure species richness, abundance, and evenness.

Topographical and Habitat Mapping

Preparation of a 10 km radius topographic map around the project site.

- Identification of habitat/land use types:
  - Forest types (Dry-deciduous, Moist-deciduous, Evergreen, etc.)
  - Water bodies (lakes, ponds, canals, reservoirs, wetlands)
  - Agricultural, grazing, barren lands, and human settlements

In biodiversity assessments, habitat selection criteria are the ecological, spatial, and biological standards used to evaluate which areas are critical for species survival. These assessments prioritize sites based on habitat uniqueness, species sensitivity, ecological integrity, and representativeness to guide conservation planning and environmental management [3-5].

Field Sampling Techniques

Floral Assessment

Quadrate Sampling:

- 1m x 1m for herbs
- 5m x 5m for shrubs
- 10m x 10m for trees
- Adjusted based on vegetation density

Transect Methods:

- Line or belt transects placed systematically across environmental gradients

Direct Observation and Counting:

- Useful for areas with sparse vegetation

Faunal Assessment

- Visual sightings, vocal cues, footprints, droppings
- Interviews with residents
- Night surveys and dawn/dusk monitoring for specific species

Species identification in biodiversity assessment combines traditional field observations with advanced molecular and technological tools [6,7]. The most robust assessments integrate multiple methods to achieve accurate, comprehensive taxonomic profiling [8].

Primary Data Recording

- GPS tagging and geo-referenced photography
- Ecological remarks on pollution signs or anthropogenic threats

GPS and GIS are foundational technologies in biodiversity assessment. Roy together, they allow researchers to capture the exact spatial location of species in the field and integrate this with environmental data to model habitats, map biological richness, and monitor conservation efforts [9].

Community and Local Knowledge Integration

Local Interviews:

- Identification of species, cropping patterns, and seasonal biodiversity
- Historical or cultural ecological knowledge
- Documentation of traditional uses of medicinal and native plants

Habitat Classification and Ecological Characterization

- Classification into major habitat types as per field and secondary data:
- Forests, Marshlands, Agricultural lands, Wetlands, Urban habitats
- Ecological characteristics: canopy cover, soil type, moisture level, and associated fauna

Species Assessment and Conservation Status

Classification of Species (as per IUCN Red List):

- Critically Endangered (CR)
- Endangered (EN)
- Vulnerable (VU)
- Near Threatened (NT)
- Least Concern (LC)
- Data Deficient (DD) and Not Evaluated (NE)

Schedule Classification (India - Wildlife Protection Act, 1972)

- Special focus on Schedule I species for conservation planning IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria [10].

Data Quality Assurance

Verification and Validation

- Cross-verification with;
- Forest officers, researchers, professors
- Published references and regional floras/faunas
- Seasonal variability considered through multi-seasonal data sources

Biodiversity indices are mathematical formulas used to quantify the complexity of an ecological community. They combine species richness (the number of different species present) and species evenness (how evenly individuals are distributed among those species) into a single, measurable value to compare environmental health across different habitats [11].

Standard Operating Procedures

- Ensures reliability, relevancy, and accuracy of data

Data Interpretation and Biodiversity Indexing

- Species Density & Frequency Calculations

Diversity Indices

- Shannon-Weiner Index for richness and evenness

Ecological Health Indicators

- Dominant species
- Indicator species
- Invasive species

Habitat Value Estimation:

Based on ecosystem services, endemicity, and rarity

Conservation Planning

Conservation Plans

- Focus on Endangered, Threatened, and Schedule I species [12].
- Based on species' ecological roles and threat assessments [13].

Mitigation Planning

Direct Impacts

- Habitat loss, fragmentation
- Dust, noise, and pollution stress
- Wildlife movement disruption

Indirect Impacts

- Invasive species introduction
- Secondary faunal impacts due to flora loss
- Long-term ecological imbalance

Greenbelt Development Strategy

Role of Greenbelts

- Air pollutant absorption
- Noise attenuation
- Dust suppression
- Wildlife shelter and corridor creation
- Soil conservation and carbon sequestration
- Enhanced site aesthetics

Planning and Implementation

Costing Parameters

- Saplings, transportation, soil preparation, fertilizers
- Irrigation, maintenance staff, timeline

Timeline and Monitoring:

- Annual growth targets
- Maintenance for 3–5 years until establishment

Case Study: The Yamuna Biodiversity Park (Delhi, India)

Objective: To reconstruct the natural ecosystems of the Yamuna River basin and establish a functional, self-sustaining wetland that supports native biodiversity.

Methodology:

- ***Baseline Survey:*** Detailed inventories were conducted on the flora and fauna, classifying various species across trophic levels [14].
- ***Habitat Creation:*** Scientists replicated the original topography of the Yamuna floodplains, constructing wetlands, grasslands, and forest communities from scratch using native plants.
- ***Monitoring:*** The park measures success using key ecological indices:
- ***Frequency:*** Tracking how often native plants and migratory birds return to the area.
- ***Density & Abundance:*** Monitoring the population growth of local species like jackals, nilgai, and hundreds of resident/migratory bird species [15].

RESULTS

Transformed an ecologically degraded, barren stretch of land into a thriving habitat supporting over 1,500 native plant and animal species. It serves as a biological haven and a crucial model for mitigating urban pollution and habitat loss. If you want to apply these methods in your region, standard guidelines for Environmental Impact Assessments (EIAs) and biodiversity benchmarks are formally standardized by agencies like the Convention on Biological Diversity CBD (2022) and the International Union for Conservation of Nature. The specific sampling methods (like quadrats or transects) used to measure flora and fauna?

- How to apply quantitative indices (like Shannon-Weiner or Simpson's Index) to analyze assessment data?
- More details on conducting an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) for a localized development? [16]

CONCLUSION

Ecology and Biodiversity studies are crucial in understanding and mitigating the environmental impacts of development projects. A rigorous and methodical approach, combining field surveys, stakeholder engagement, and scientific validation, ensures accurate assessments. The integration of biodiversity indices and habitat mapping helps evaluate ecological health. Strategic conservation and greenbelt development contribute to sustaining ecological balance and enhancing resilience against environmental stressors. Future EB assessments must emphasize adaptive monitoring, participatory planning, and data transparency for meaningful environmental stewardship.

A biodiversity assessment evaluates the variety, abundance, and health of life in a given ecosystem. It is vital for predicting ecosystem resilience, guiding conservation efforts, and enabling sustainable development by preventing the loss of essential ecological services like water purification, climate regulation, and food security.

The future of ecological monitoring lies in a transition from passive, localized observations to autonomous, real-time, global tracking. Driven by Artificial Intelligence, genomics, and advanced remote sensing, this evolution enables continuous ecosystem health assessments, proactive climate modeling, and large-scale natural capital verification.

REFERENCES

1. CBD U. Kunming-montreal global biodiversity framework. In Fifteenth meeting of the Conference of the Parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity (Part Two) Decision 2022.
2. Gaston KJ, Biodiversity A. A biology of numbers and difference. London, UK. 1996.
3. Wilson EO. The Diversity of Life Cambridge Harvard University Press.
4. Odum EP, Barrett GW. Fundamentals of ecology.
5. Hutchinson G. Concluding remarks cold spring harbor symposia on quantitative biology, 22: 415–427. GS SEARCH. 1957.
6. Chapin Iii FS, Zavaleta ES, Eviner VT, Naylor RL, Vitousek PM, Reynolds HL, et al. Consequences of changing biodiversity. Nature. 2000;405(6783):234-42.
7. Cardinale BJ, Duffy JE, Gonzalez A, Hooper DU, Perrings C, Venail P, et al. Biodiversity loss and its impact on humanity. Nature. 2012;486(7401):59-67.
8. Bibby CJ. Bird census techniques. Elsevier; 2000.
9. Roy PS, Tomar S, Jeganathan C. Biodiversity characterisation at landscape level using satellite remote sensing. NNRMS Bulletin. 1997:12-8.
10. Keith DA. An evaluation and modification of World Conservation Union Red List criteria for classification of extinction risk in vascular plants. Conservation Biology. 1998;12(5):1076-90.
11. Díaz SM, Settele J, Brondízio E, Ngo H, Guèze M, Agard J, et al. The global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services: Summary for policy makers.
12. MacArthur RH, Wilson EO. The theory of island biogeography. Princeton university press; 2001.
13. Pereira HM, Ferrier S, Walters M, Geller GN, Jongman RH, Scholes RJ, et al. Essential biodiversity variables. Science. 2013;339(6117):277-8.
14. Gullison T, Hardner JJ, Anstee S, Meyer M. Good practices for the collection of biodiversity baseline data.
15. Sutherland WJ, editor. Ecological census techniques: a handbook. Cambridge university press; 2006.
16. Treweek J. Ecological impact assessment. Impact Assessment. 1995;13(3):289-315.